
Occurrence of Adenovirus in Fecal Samples of Wild Felids (*Panthera onca* and *Leopardus pardalis*) from Brazil: Predators as Dispersing Agents?

Abstract: Because they control prey numbers at various stages of the food chain, wild felids play an essential role in preserving ecological balance in natural habitats. The comprehensive variety of an ecosystem may be affected by changes in the dynamics of predator populations. Little is known on the epidemiology of these animals, and there are few reports of Adenovirus infections in them. Viruses like these are important for the health of animals, humans, and the environment, thus it's crucial to learn more about them from a One Health lens. Fecal samples of wild felids from a remnant of the Atlantic Forest in southern Brazil, which is famous for its great biodiversity, were tested for Adenovirus DNA. A grand total of forty-three feces samples were obtained, with eleven coming from jaguars (*Panthera onca*) and thirty-two from ocelots (*Leopardus pardalis*). The samples were processed by first extracting viral nucleic acids, then amplifying genetic material using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and then sequencing nucleotides. Protein sequences from the DNA polymerase and IV2a genes formed the basis for all phylogenetic studies. Two samples from each species of feline tested positive for Adenovirus DNA in their feces. For the first time, Adenovirus has been found in *Panthera onca* and *Leopardus pardalis* feces, according to this research. Every single sequence that was found belonged to the Mastadenovirus family. Sequences from the vampire bat *Desmodus rotundus* and *Mastadenovirus bosprimum* could be identified based on phylogenetic distance criteria alone.

Keywords: *Panthera onca*, Mastadenovirus, *Leopardus pardalis*, protected area, viruses

Introduction

Virus pandemics are on the rise and may be attributed to a variety of human-caused reasons, including but not limited to: a decrease in biodiversity, greater commerce and worldwide transportation, fast urbanization, climate change, and others [1,2]. Thus, protecting people and animals from the spread of infectious illnesses may depend on keeping ecosystems diversified and healthy [3,4]. In a world where the well-being of humans, animals, and the environment are interdependent, it is essential to comprehend the prevalence and ecological dynamics of viruses in their native habitats. Among the most biologically diverse nations in the world, Brazil is home to an estimated 170,000–210,000 species, or 9.5% of the total [5]. Unfortunately, many natural ecosystems in Brazil's most biodiverse biomes, such as the Atlantic Forest [6] and the Cerrado [7], have been destroyed due to human activity, putting the country's biodiversity at risk. It follows that the degradation of Brazil's natural ecosystems should be thought of as a potential factor in the spread of disease.

Among all existing biodiversity, the Felidae family is particularly notable, as it includes only species recognized as predators, including small, meso, and top predators. These species are essential for maintaining the ecological balance by regulating the populations of other predators and prey species [8,9]. The consumption of sick and more vulnerable prey can be expected, contributing to suppressing or limiting disease prevalence (e.g., [10]). In this sense, in a competitive context, the inclusion (exotic species) or exclusion of predators could influence disease dynamics [11]. Consequently, changes in the community composition or abundance of wild felids can significantly disrupt the equilibrium of an ecosystem (e.g., [12]). This highlights that the conservation of predators can have direct implications for promoting One Health since these animals themselves can contribute to the maintenance of biodiversity and provide other ecosystem services related to disease control.

On the other hand, when interacting with infected prey, predators may also become infected [13] and disseminate diseases in the environment during slaughter, consumption, and deposition of feces containing the remains of infected prey, effectively acting as dispersing agents [14]. Infections, including those caused by viruses, can significantly affect the populations of wild felines and their interactions with the prey and the environment [15]. Therefore, different diseases could represent threats to Brazilian felines, highlighting that,



of the nine currently recognized species of wild felids in the country [pam-pas cat (*Leopardus colocolo*), Geoffroy's cat (*Leopardus geoffroyi*), ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*), northern tiger cat (*Leopardus tigrinus*), southern tiger cat (*Leopardus guttulus*), margay (*Leopardus wiedii*), jaguarondi (*Herpailurus yagouaroundi*), puma (*Puma concolor*), and jaguar (*Panthera onca*) [16], 88.9% are experiencing a global trend of population decline and 55.6% are classified in some threat category [17]. Recently, a new species of Adenovirus was detected in a wild *Leopardus pardalis* in Brazil [18]. The animal, which had been hit by a vehicle, was examined using molecular biology techniques, revealing the presence of "ocelot adenovirus 1" causing a systemic infection [18]. Before this study, the presence of Adenovirus in wild felids had been documented only once worldwide in a captive leopard (*Panthera pardus*) in India [19]. Adenoviruses are non-enveloped viruses with an icosahedral shape, measuring around 70–90 nm in diameter, and contain a linear double-stranded DNA genome that ranges from 24 to 48 kb. These viruses belong to the Adenoviridae family, divided into six genera. Among them, *Mastadenovirus* is the only genus that infects mammals [20–22], which includes over 50 species [21]. The other genera are *Aviadenovirus*, comprising 14 species that infect birds; *Barthadenovirus*, with 9 species affecting reptiles, birds, ruminants, and marsupials; *Siadenovirus*, including more than 7 species that infect birds, frogs, and tortoises; *Ichtadenovirus*, represented by a single species found in white sturgeon; and *Testadenovirus*, with one species affecting red-eared sliders [21]. Adenoviruses can cause persistent infections [18] and can be transmitted through direct contact and indirectly via saliva, respiratory secretions, feces, or urine. Adenoviruses are generally species-specific, although there is evidence of zoonotic transmission between humans and non-human species, including primates, bats, felines, swine, canines, sheep, and goats. These viruses are believed to have crossed, and will likely continue to cross, host species barriers [23]. Therefore, a better understanding of the Adenoviruses capable of infecting wild animals is needed within a One Health approach, given their significance for animal health, including that of wild felids, as well as human health and environmental

balance. In this context, the present study reports the molecular detection and description of Adenovirus in fecal samples of wild felids from a remnant of the Atlantic Forest in southeastern Brazil.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

Data collection was carried out in the Vale Natural Reserve (Reserva Natural Vale—RNV) (19°01'16" S–19°14'49" S and 40°05'22" W–39°52'06" W). This privately protected area is located in the northern region of Espírito Santo, between the municipalities of Linhares and Jaguaré, Brazil, covering approximately 22,711 hectares. The RNV, together with the Sooretama Biological Reserve (Reserva Biológica de Sooretama—RBS), the Recanto das Antas Private Natural Heritage Reserve (Reserva Particular do Patrimônio Natural—RPPN), and the Mutum Preto RPPN, forms the Linhares-Sooretama Block (Bloco Linhares-Sooretama—BLS), which represents a continuous forest area of about 53,000 hectares. The BLS is the largest continuous remnant of native vegetation in Espírito Santo. It represents one of the largest remnants of the lowland Atlantic Forest in Brazil, recognized as an important conservation area for large mammals [24]. For details regarding the location and context of the study area, please view Figure 1 in the Results section.

The vegetation of the RNV consists of various types, including dense forests, *muçununga* forests, flooded swamps, and native grasslands [25]. The dense forest, or the "tabuleiro" forest, is the predominant formation and is classified as a Perennial Seasonal Forest [26]. The climate in the region is tropical F with a dry winter [27], with an average annual temperature of $24.3\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2.1$ and an average precipitation of $1214.6\text{ mm} \pm 260.6$ [26]. The RNV contains an internal network of unpaved roads of approximately 126 km long, which provide access to all areas and phytophysognomies of the reserve. The surroundings of the RNV are primarily composed of agricultural activities, such as livestock farming and the cultivation of papaya, coffee, and eucalyptus [26].

Fecal Sample Collection and Feline Identification

This study analyzed fecal samples collected between May 2017 and December 2018. The samples were obtained through active searches during linear transects conducted by walking along the internal roads of the RNV, as well as on



the embankments and firebreaks bordering neighboring properties. The transects were carried out during monthly campaigns, each lasting four days. In each campaign, sampling was performed to cover the largest possible area, covering a total distance of 1668 km. The collected samples were stored in plastic bags and labeled with an individual registration code for subsequent analysis and identification of the species in the laboratory. Only fresh fecal samples were sent for viral analysis. A fraction of each original sample was separated in the study area and stored in sterile Falcon tubes. The samples were kept refrigerated at temperatures between 2 and 8 °C in the field (cooler with ice) and frozen at -20 °C in the laboratory until processing.

The identification of the species that deposited the fecal samples was conducted through microstructural analysis (cuticle and medulla) of guard hairs ingested by predators during self-grooming or prey consumption [28]. The same procedure supplemented by the morphological analysis of other undigested items (such as teeth, bones, feathers, and scales) was applied to identify the prey species [29].

Suspension of Fecal Samples, DNA Extraction and Amplification

Approximately 200 mg of each fecal sample were used, diluted to a 20% (*w/v*) concentration in 1000 µL of Tris-Calcium buffer (Tris 0.01 M, CaCl₂ 1.5 mM, pH 7.2). The samples were homogenized using a vortex mixer (Biomixer, Araraquara, Brazil) and then centrifuged in a refrigerated microcentrifuge (Nova Tecnica Ltd.a., Piracicaba, Brazil) at 3000 rpm for 10 min. After transferring 700 µL of the supernatant, the resulting dilution was stored at -20 °C until viral nucleic acid extraction.

The steps for extracting and amplifying viral genetic material were carried out following the protocol established by Wellehan and colleagues [30], using a nested-PCR targeting the DNA polymerase gene. For the first amplification, the following primers were used: forward primer (TNMGNGGNGGNGMNTGYTAYCC) and reverse primer (GTDGCRAAN-SHNCRTABARNGMRTT); for the second reaction, forward primer (CANCCBCDRTTTRT-GNARNGTRA) and reverse primer (GTNTWYGAYATHHTGYGGHATGTAYGC) were used. Respectively, these primers produced fragments of approximately 550 and 318–324 bp.

Additionally, for the amplification of the IVa2 gene, the forward (CCNNSNCCNGARAC-NGTNTTYTT) and reverse (GGRTTCATRTTTRTGNARNACNAC) primers were used for the first reaction, which generate products of 397 bp, as well as the following primers (CCNCARRTNGAYATGATHCCNCC) and (TTNSWNGGGRAANGCRTGRAARAAYTT), for the second reaction, which generate products of 302 bp [31].


The reaction volume was 50 µL, consisting of 37 µL of ultrapure sterilized water, 5 µL of 10 × REDTaq DNA polymerase buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 1 µL of MgCl₂ solution (25 mM), 1.5 µL of dNTP mix (10 mM), 1 µL of each primer (50 µM), 2.5 µL of REDTaq DNA polymerase enzyme (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and 1 µL of sample (material obtained from the suspension step). The DNA fragments were purified using the NucleoSpin II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). PCR products, showing a single band of the correct size containing only a specific product, were purified using the ExoProStar 1-Step kit (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA, USA); otherwise, their specific band was gel purified using the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Purified PCR products were Sanger sequenced.

DNA Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analyses

The direct sequencing of the amplified and purified products was performed using appropriate internal primers in a 10 µL reaction volume with the commercial “Big Dye Terminator® v1.3 Cycle Sequencing Kit” (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Electrophoresis was conducted using the ABI PRISM 3100 machine (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The sequences were analyzed and manually edited using the Chromas software v2.6.6 (Technelysium, Queensland, Australia), and then verified using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTn) to confirm their identity. Subsequently, the nucleotide sequences were translated into amino acid sequences. Their open reading frame was confirmed using the ExPasy platform [32], and amino acid identities were verified using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTp). The sequences obtained in this study have been deposited in GenBank (accession numbers PQ347813 to

PQ347817).

Results



All phylogenetic analyses were based on the amino acid sequences of the DNA polymerase and IV2a genes separately. For each gene, the sequences obtained were aligned using ClustalW in the MEGA7 software [33] with representative sequences of all Adenovirus genera available in GenBank (Table S1). The most appropriate evolutionary model for the analyses and the identity matrices were estimated using MEGA7. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the Maximum Likelihood method with the Le Gascuel model, a gamma distribution (LG+G), and 1000 bootstrap replicates, utilizing MEGA7. The trees were then edited using FigTree software v1.4.4.

Figure 1. Linhares-Sooretama Block, located in the northern region of Espírito Santo, southeastern Brazil (A,B), with a detailed view of the Vale Natural Reserve (RNV), Sooretama Biological Reserve (RBS), and the Private Natural Heritage Reserves of Recanto das Antas (RA RPPN) and Mutum Preto (MP RPPN) (C). Geographic coordinates: Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM Zone 24K).

Analysis was performed on four partial sequences of the DNA polymerase coding gene (VS631 and VS649 from *Panthera onca*; VS652 and VS655 from *Leopardus pardalis*) and one partial sequence of the IVa2 gene (VS631 IVa2 from *Panthera onca*). All detected sequences clustered within the genus *Mastadenovirus*. The amino acid identities among the wild feline Adenovirus from Brazil, identified as *Felid mastadenovirus/RK278* (MZ147500) [18], and the

A total of 43 fecal samples were collected and processed for viral nucleic acid extraction and amplification. Of these, 11 samples (25.6%) were from *Panthera onca*, and 32 samples (74.4%) were from *Leopardus pardalis*. Adenovirus DNA was detected in four of these samples, with two positive samples from each species. The positive fecal samples were collected on different dates: VS631 in June 2017, VS649 in December 2017, VS652 in April 2018, and VS655 in January 2018. The sampling locations varied in distance (Figure 1), ranging from 4.08 km to 10.21 km, with an average distance of 7.65 km between them.

sequences obtained in our study were low, with percentages as follows: VS631 46.3%, VS649 53.8%, VS652 49.2%, and VS655 61.2%. This low level of identity suggests that the Adenovirus DNA detected in our study represents different species than the previously identified wild feline Adenovirus.

The DNA polymerase gene sequences VS649, VS652, and VS655 clustered with *Mastadenovirus bosprimum* (YP_094032) (bovine adenovirus 1) and *Caprelous mastadenovirus* (DBA50533) [34]. According to the identity matrix, the obtained sequences have 92.6% and 100% identity with *Mastadenovirus bosprimum* sequences. Additionally, sample VS655 also showed 88.2% identity with the sequence of *Caprelous mastadenovirus* (Figure 2, Table 1).




Figure 2. A phylogenetic tree based on amino acid sequences of Adenovirus DNA polymerase was generated using the Maximum Likelihood method with the Le Gascuel model and a discrete gamma distribution (LG+G), totaling 905 positions in the final dataset. The analysis involved 103 amino acid sequences. Sequences detected in this study are highlighted and colored. Values lower than 70% were hidden.



Table 1. Amino acid percent identities of the partial DNA polymerase gene comparing obtained sequences with GenBank sequences showing >85% amino acid identity. Analyses were conducted using MEGA7.

Identification	VS631	<i>Desmodus Mastadenovirus/</i> PGT-0382	<i>Desmodus Mastadenovirus/</i> PGT-03480	VS649	VS652	VS655	<i>Mastadenovirus bosprimum</i>	<i>Caprelous mastadenovirus</i>
VS631								
<i>Desmodus rotundus</i> mastadenovirus/ PGT-0382	87							
<i>Desmodus rotundus</i> mastadenovirus/ PGT-0348	87	100						
VS649	61.2	68.5	68.5					
VS652	57.4	63.1	63.1	94.7				
VS655	59.3	74.7	74.7	94.7	92.6			
<i>Mastadenovirus bosprimum mastadenovirus</i>	59.3	76.8	77	94.7	92.6	100		
<i>Caprelous</i>	59.3	77.8	78	77.2	77.9	88.2	85.3	

Desmodus rotundus mastadenovirus (AOS88389 and AOS88390); *Mastadenovirus bosprimum* (YP_094032); *Caprelous mastadenovirus* (DBA50533); VS631 (PQ347814); VS649 (PQ347815); VS652 (PQ347816); VS655 (PQ347817).

The sequence VS631 clustered with mastadenoviruses from vampire bat *Desmodus rotundus* originating in Guatemala (AOS88389 and AOS88390) [35], showing 87% identity with these sequences (Figure 2, Table 1). The sample also had 83.3% identity with a mastadenovirus from *Desmodus rotundus* in Brazil (AGG81655), which has been suggested to be classified as Bat mastadenovirus T [22].

The sequence VS631 IVa2 from the IVa2 gene clustered with a mastadenovirus sequence from *Desmodus* sp. (DBA51399) [34], showing the highest identity of 68.55% with this sequence

Table 2. Amino acid identities (%) of the partial IVa2 gene comparing the obtained sequence with sequences available in GenBank showing the highest identities. Analyses were conducted using MEGA7.

Identification	VS631 IVa2	<i>Desmodus</i> sp. Mastadenovirus	<i>Nyctalus noctula</i> Mastadenovirus/119/08	<i>Nyctalus noctula</i> Mastadenovirus/150/08	<i>Myotis myotis</i> Mastadenovirus	<i>Pipistrellus</i> sp.
VS631 IVa2						
<i>Desmodus</i> sp. mastadenovirus	68.57					
<i>Nyctalus noctula</i> mastadenovirus/119/08	51.43	73.81				
<i>Nyctalus noctula</i> mastadenovirus/150/08	51.43	73.81	97.62			
<i>Myotis myotis</i> mastadenovirus	51.43	76.19	83.33	84.52		
<i>Pipistrellus</i> sp.	51.43	74.64	82.14	83.33	82.14	

Desmodus sp. mastadenovirus (DBA51399); *Nyctalus noctula* mastadenovirus (AIL52700 and AIL52701); *Myotis myotis* mastadenovirus (AIL52689); *Pipistrellus* sp. (WXG22480); VS631 IVa2 (PQ347813).

(Table 2, Figure 3).

According to ICTV, to determine the species of Adenovirus, at least two criteria had to be used: (1) a phylogenetic distance of greater than 10–15% based on the amino acid sequences of the DNA polymerase gene, and (2) other factors such as genomic organization (the E3 region), nucleotide composition, host range, oncogenicity in rodents, cross-neutralization, recombination capability, the number of virus-associated RNA (VA RNA) genes, and hemagglutination [21]. Based on phylogenetic distance alone, sequences VS649, VS652, and VS655 could be classified as *Mastadenovirus bosprimum*, while sequence VS631 would be associated with the same mastadenovirus species found in bats from Guatemala.



Figure 3. A phylogenetic tree based on amino acid sequences of Adenovirus IVa2 gene was generated using the Maximum Likelihood method with the Le Gascuel model and a discrete gamma distribution (LG+G), totaling 333 positions in the final dataset. The analysis involved 91 amino acid sequences. Sequences detected in this study

Discussion

Molecular analysis successfully detected the presence of Adenovirus DNA in four distinct fecal samples of wild felines in RNV. The interaction with these viruses was confirmed for two feline species (*Leopardus pardalis* and *Panthera onca*), also highlighting the association of Adenovirus with a meso and a top predator. However, it was not possible, without fecal DNA analyses, to determine whether it was associated with different individuals of the same species. Additionally, we emphasize that, in this study, the detection of viral DNA in the fecal samples was conducted, but the integrity of the viral particles, as well as their viability or infectious potential through viral isolation, were not assessed.

Adenovirus has been documented in both domestic [36] and wild felines [18,19]. In wild cats, the initial report described a case of anorexia, hepatitis with intranuclear inclusion bodies, myocarditis, renal lesions, and gastrointestinal tract lesions in a *Panthera pardus* death in a zoo in India [19]. More recently, a systemic period of rodent remains in the feces of *Leopardus* was most similar to sequences previously described in pinnipeds from Peru and the United States. The authors proposed naming it "ocelot adenovirus 1" and suggested it may represent a new species within the *Mastadenovirus* genus [18]. The low similarity between this wild feline Adenovirus and the sequences from our study suggests that the Adenoviruses we identified belong to different species than the previously identified Adenovirus.

In our study, we report for the first time the occurrence of Adenovirus DNA in fecal samples from *Panthera onca* and *Leopardus pardalis*, and the first association with the jaguar independent of the biological material analyzed. For *Panthera onca* feces, one sequence (VS649) was identified as *Mastadenovirus bosprimum* (YP_094032), while the sequence VS631 clustered with mastadenovirus sequences from *Desmodus rotundus* originating in Guatemala (AOS88389 and AOS88390). To a lesser

pardalis fed in captivity, it was observed that rodent hairs were most abundant on the second day, decreased significantly from the third day onward, and were no longer detectable after the fifth day post-consumption [39]. This may suggest that predators possibly disperse viral genetic material associated with prey in multiple events, and it may take days for all the virus's material to be eliminated from the digestive system. Therefore, in addition to predators controlling diseases through predation, they can contribute to the spread of viruses in the environment, if these microorganisms are viable and infectious after passing through the predator's digestive tract. The effective transmission of viruses (infectious forms) present in prey through fecal samples from predators has already been demonstrated experimentally [14].

In conjunction with this study, the diet of *Panthera onca* [29] and *Leopardus pardalis* (unpublished data) was evaluated, using the same fecal samples that underwent viral analysis. The dietary data indicate a high consumption of wild ungulates by *Panthera*. Adenovirus infection was detected in a *Leopardus pardalis* roadkilled in Brazil. The virus was identified in samples from the brain, skeletal muscle, spleen, mesenteric lymph node, stomach, and duodenum, while samples from the kidney, cecum, lung, liver, and tongue were negative. The assessment of lesions was limited due to trauma and subsequent tissue damage. Ultimately, the Adenovirus

extent, the VS631 IVa2 sequence, from the IVa2 gene, clustered with a mastadenovirus sequence from *Desmodus* sp. (DBA51399). For the sequences obtained from fecal samples of *Leopardus pardalis*, all were grouped with *Mastadenovirus bosprimum* (YP_094032). Our findings further support the association of Adenovirus with *Leopardus pardalis* as recently reported [18].

Since the detection of Adenovirus genetic material was performed in fecal samples of wild felines, it cannot be determined whether the viruses were infecting these animals, as previously described [18], or were derived from prey and subsequently excreted along with their remains in the collected feces. The presence of viruses remaining in the feces of predators has been suggested in other studies involving small carnivores. This was attributed to viruses effectively present in predators [37], acquired via diet from infected prey [37,38] or



International journal of agricultural
sciences and veterinary medicine

ISSN: 2320-3730

Vol-8 Issue-01 April 2019

related to the consumption of prey with infected intestinal parasites [38]. When evaluating the detectability *onca* in the RNV, with the samples showing evidence of peccaries (Tayassuidae), deer (Cervidae),



and tapirs (Tapiridae) among the consumed prey. Other studies have identified anti-bovine Adenovirus antibodies in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) and roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) in Great Britain [40], and in Yezo sika deer (*Cervus nippon yesoensis*) in Japan [41]. Additionally, a novel Adenovirus was isolated from a white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) in the United States, showing a 76% similarity to "Bovine Adenovirus Type 3" [42]. These findings suggest that close contact between wild cervids and domestic cattle may facilitate the transmission of viruses and their adaptation to new host species, raising concerns about managing both wild and domestic ungulates [42]. The analysis of fecal samples provides no evidence that *Panthera onca* has consumed domestic cattle in the RNV [29]. However, personal observations and camera trap records confirm the occasional presence of domestic cattle within the reserve, near the boundaries (unpublished data). Therefore, it is proposed that the detected viral sequences may have resulted from the infection of wild ungulates, with viruses possibly introduced by domestic animals in the surrounding areas. We also emphasize that the samples in this study were collected from areas further inland within the reserve, where domestic cattle are not present, ruling out the possibility of environmental contamination of fecal samples. Alternatively, the sequences might represent novel, previously undescribed viruses affecting wild ungulates, which are not currently included in the available databases.

The presence of *Mastadenovirus bosprimum* in the feces of *Leopardus pardalis* further supports the previously suggested hypothesis that the occurrence of this virus group is linked to the consumption of wild ungulates infected with the virus, as the predation of brocket deer (Cervidae) has been documented in the diet of this feline [43,44], including within the RNV [45]. However, no remains of domestic ungulates were observed in the *Leopardus pardalis* feces samples analyzed in the study area.

There is a great genetic diversity of Adenoviruses circulating in bats from the Brazilian Atlantic Forest [22]. However, there are no records of bat predation by *Panthera onca* in the study area [29] or other locations throughout its range [46]. Despite this, *Desmodus rotundus* preys on different species of

mammals, such as tapirs (*Tapirus terrestris*) in BLS [47], one of the jaguar preys in the study area [29]. The risk of viral spillover from bats to other animal species, including humans, is well documented, either through direct or indirect contact [48–50]. Bat-origin viruses have been reported to infect horses with the Hendra virus [51], and humans with the Nipah virus [52], as well as transmit the rabies virus to various wild and domestic mammal species [53]. In laboratory settings, Adenoviruses isolated from bat feces have been shown to effectively infect human, monkey, dog, and pig cells [54]. As previously discussed for *Mastadenovirus bosprimum*, our findings suggest that the presence of a bat-related virus in our sample may not necessarily be due to bat predation, but rather to a viral infection affecting other species preyed upon by *Panthera onca* in RNV. Moreover, it is likely that this prey was infected by an Adenovirus that underwent spillover, probably from the vampire bat *Desmodus rotundus*.

Adenoviruses primarily spread through the fecal-oral route. In such cases, infection occurs when susceptible individuals come into direct contact with feces or contaminated objects containing viable viral particles. Respiratory transmission is also possible, occurring when the virus contacts the ocular and nasal conjunctivae [18]. It is noted that *Leopardus pardalis*, among other mammals, uses latrines, which are sites where feces and urine are frequently deposited. These latrines serve as important sites for communication and social interaction among individuals [55–57]. In addition, other animals have been recorded using and interacting with *Leopardus pardalis* latrines. King and colleagues [58] documented at least 14 mammal species visiting *Leopardus pardalis* latrines in Costa Rica. These species interacted with the latrines by rubbing their bodies and faces on the sites, sniffing the area, and possibly ingesting material deposited there [58]. In the RNV, latrines of this feline were visited by 19 mammal species, including individuals from the families Felidae, Procyonidae, Mustelidae, Tayassuidae, Cervidae, Tapiridae, Cuniculidae, Dasyproctidae, Caviidae, Sciuridae, Dasypodidae, Myrmecophagidae, Didelphidae, and Leporidae [57]. Human-derived Adenoviruses are capable of surviving for extended periods depending



on environmental conditions [59]. Thus, the persistence of Adenovirus particles in the feces of *Leopardus pardalis* could enable this wild feline to disseminate the viral particles in the environment and potentially transmit them to new hosts, after the contact with or the ingestion of feces, assuming that the viral particles remain viable and infectious. This would make latrines important sites for viral transmission to other mammal species, particularly those that directly interact with the material deposited by the feline. The same applies to other carnivores that use latrines, including *Panthera onca*.

Even though the viral findings may be related to the prey consumed by the felines, the potential risk to these predators from this contact should not be neglected. Although felines appear to be resistant to microorganisms present in their prey, in situations of environmental stress or nutritional deficiencies—both of which can affect immune response—predators could become susceptible to pathogenic agents associated with the ingested prey species [60]. Additionally, it should be considered that Adenoviruses in wild felines have shown the potential to cause systemic infections [18]. These conditions could pose new risks to the populations of these predators and consequently to the ecological balance of the environments. Potential viral spillover events also raise concerns about the emergence of new diseases in domestic animals and humans. This applies to regions where the interaction between wild animals, domestic animals, and humans is frequent due to the presence of wildlife in anthropogenic landscapes or the presence of domestic animals and humans (hunters, for example) inside natural areas. In this context, it becomes evident that the health of ecosystems and its impact on animal health are also risk factors for human health, highlighting the necessity of maintaining the ecological balance in natural environments as part of public health measures in a One Health approach [61,62]. Finally, we highlight some limitations of this study, such as the limited number of fecal samples from which PCR could be performed and the subsequent sequencing of the amplified products, which were restricted to two genes (DNA polymerase and IVa2). Additionally, the lack of viral isolation techniques jeopardized the analysis of the integrity, viability, and infectivity of Adenoviruses present in the feces of wild felines. Therefore, we

suggest that further studies be conducted in this area, as well as in other regions, aiming to employ Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) techniques for viral particles' characterization and other methods capable of assessing the infectivity of Adenoviruses in the fecal samples of felines.

This study provides new insights into the presence of *Mastadenovirus* DNA in fecal samples of *Panthera onca* and *Leopardus pardalis*, emphasizing the possibility of these predators acting as potential viral dispersing agents, and thereby helping to understand the ecological dynamics of these viruses in natural environments. The findings underscore the importance and applicability of these feline species as sentinel animals, serving as tools for investigating the health of the ecosystem and other species, and playing a significant role in viral surveillance programs.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/vetsci11100511/s1>, Table S1: Adenovirus DNA polymerase sequences included in the phylogenetic analyses.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.C.S.-A.; methodology, A.C.S.-A., H.E.J. and L.S.R.; formal analysis, A.C.S.-A., H.L.F., L.S.R. and Y.M.; investigation, A.C.S.-A., G.A.M.R., H.E.J., H.L.F., L.S.R. and Y.M.; resources, A.C.S.-A. and H.L.F.; data curation, A.C.S.-A. and L.S.R.; writing—original draft preparation, A.C.S.-A., G.A.M.R. and Y.M.; writing—review and editing, H.E.J., H.L.F. and L.S.R.; funding acquisition, A.C.S.-A. and H.L.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa e Inovação do Espírito Santo, grant number FAPES 510/2016. The authors received postgraduate or productivity scholarships, grant numbers FAPES 510/2016, FAPES 006/2017, FAPES 404/2022, FAPES 2023-RH7P2, CNPq:316333/2021-2).



Institutional Review Board Statement: Our research did not include any invasive technique that required the handling or capturing of live animals. The research was developed using fecal samples and meets ethical guidelines, including adherence to the Brazilian legal requirements. All approvals and permits from appropriate institutions and statutory authorities were obtained for the research. We strived to respect and act ethically towards ecosystems and animals' welfare while conducting our fieldwork.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The sequences are deposited in GenBank (accession numbers PQ347813 to PQ347817).

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Vale/Instituto Ambiental Vale for supporting the research in RNV. Ana Paula Jejesky (Universidade Vila Velha—Brazil) and Fernando Vicentini (Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia—Brazil) for the DNA extraction and amplification; and Kaján Győző (Veterinary Medical Research Institute—Hungary) for the DNA sequencing. H.E.Jr. thanks the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa e Inovação do Espírito Santo for the postgraduate scholarship (FAPES 006/2017). A.C.S.-A. thanks the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa e Inovação do Espírito Santo for a productivity scholarship (Bolsa Pesquisador Capixaba—FAPES 404/2022). G.A.M.R. thanks the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa e Inovação do Espírito Santo for a productivity scholarship (Bolsa Pesquisador Capixaba—FAPES (2023-RH7P2)). H.L.F. thanks Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico for a productivity scholarship (CNPq:316333/2021-2).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Williams, P.C.; Bartlett, A.W.; Howard-Jones, A.; McMullan, B.; Khatami, A.; Britton, P.N.; Marais, B.J. Impact of climate change and biodiversity collapse on the global emergence and spread of infectious diseases. *J. Paediatr. Child Health* 2021, 57, 1811–1818. [CrossRef]
2. David, B. Biodiversity and emergence of viral pandemics. *Phytochem. Lett.* 2024, 63, 69–78. [CrossRef]
3. Ostfeld, R.S.; Keesing, F. Biodiversity and disease risk: The case of Lyme disease. *Conserv. Biol.* 2000, 14, 722–728. [CrossRef]
4. Young, H.S.; Dirzo, R.; Helgen, K.M.; McCauley, D.J.; Billeter, S.A.; Kosoy, M.Y.; Osikowicz, L.M.; Salkeld, D.J.; Young, T.P.; Dittmar, K. Declines in large wildlife increase landscape-level prevalence of rodent-borne disease in Africa. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, 111, 7036–7041. [CrossRef]
5. Lewinsohn, T.M.; Prado, P.I. How many species are there in Brazil? *Conserv. Biol.* 2005, 19, 619–624. [CrossRef]
6. Rezende, C.L.; Scarano, F.R.; Assad, E.D.; Joly, C.A.; Metzger, J.P.; Strassburg, B.B.N.; Tabarelli, M.; Fonseca, G.A.; Mittermeier, R.A. From hotspot to hopespot: An opportunity for the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Perspect. Ecol. Conserv.* 2018, 16, 2018–2214. [CrossRef]
7. Strassburg, B.B.N.; Brooks, T.; Feltran-Barbieri, R.; Iribarrem, A.; Crouzeilles, R.; Loyola, R.; Latawiec, A.E.; Oliveira Filho, F.J.B.; Scaramuzza, C.A.M.; Scarano, F.R.; et al. Moment of truth for the Cerrado hotspot. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 2017, 1, 13–15. [CrossRef]
8. Nelson, E.H.; Matthews, C.E.; Rosenheim, J.A. Predators reduce prey population growth by inducing changes in prey behavior. *Ecology* 2004, 85, 1853–1858. [CrossRef]
9. Salo, P.; Banks, P.B.; Dickman, C.R.; Korpimäki, E. Predator manipulation experiments: Impacts on populations of terrestrial vertebrate prey. *Ecol. Monogr.* 2010, 80, 531–546. [CrossRef]
10. Wild, M.A.; Hobbs, N.T.; Graham, M.S.; Miller, M.W. The role of predation in disease control: A comparison of selective and nonselective removal on prion disease dynamics in deer. *J. Wildl. Dis.* 2011, 47, 78–93. [CrossRef]
11. Chakraborty, S.; Kooi, B.W.; Biswas, B.; Chattopadhyay, J. Revealing the role of predator interference in a predator–prey system with disease in prey population. *Ecol. Complex.* 2015, 21, 100–111. [CrossRef]
12. Moreno, R.S.; Kays, R.W.; Samudio, R. Competitive release in diets of ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*) and puma (*Puma concolor*) after jaguar (*Panthera onca*) decline. *J. Mammal.* 2006, 87, 808–816. [CrossRef]
13. Murray, D.L.; Kapke, C.A.; Evermann, J.F.; Fuller, T.K. Infectious disease and the conservation



- of free-ranging large carnivores. *Anim. Conserv.* 1999, 2, 241–254. [CrossRef]
14. Reilly, J.R.; Hajek, A.E. Prey-processing by avian predators enhances virus transmission in the gypsy moth. *Oikos* 2012, 121, 1311–1316. [CrossRef]
15. Roelke-Parker, M.E.; Munson, L.; Packer, C.; Kock, R.; Cleaveland, S.; Carpenter, M.; O'Brien, S.J.; Pospischil, A.; Hofmann-Lehmann, R.; Lutz, H.; et al. A canine distemper virus epidemic in Serengeti lions (*Panthera leo*). *Nature* 1996, 379, 441–445. [CrossRef]
16. ICMBio. Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação e Biodiversidade—ICMBio. Carnívoros Brasileiros; ICMBio: Brasília, Brazil, 2024. Available online: <https://www.icmbio.gov.br/cenap/carnivoros-brasileiros.html> (accessed on 10 September 2024).
17. IUCN. 2024. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2024-1. Available online: <https://www.iucnredlist.org> (accessed on 10 September 2024).
18. Lial, H.C.; Navas-Suárez, P.E.; Ewbank, A.C.; Novoselecki, H.E.; Ferreira-Machado, E.; Cirqueira, C.d.S.; Fernandes, N.C.C.d.A.; Esperón, F.; Catão-Dias, J.L.; Sacristán, C. Adenovirus surveillance in wild carnivores from Brazil. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 2022, 99, 105246. [CrossRef]
19. Gupta, P.P. Inclusion Body Hepatitis in a Black Panther (*Panthera pardus pardus*). *Zoonoses Public Health* 1978, 25, 858–860. [CrossRef]
20. Davison, A.J.; Benko, M.; Harrach, B. Genetic content and evolution of adenoviruses. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2003, 84, 2895–2908. [CrossRef]
21. Benko, M.; Aoki, K.; Arnberg, N.; Davison, A.J.; Echavarría, M.; Hess, M.; Jones, M.S.; Kaján, G.L.; Kajon, A.E.; Mittal, S.K.; et al. ICTV virus taxonomy profile: Adenoviridae. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2022, 103, 001721. [CrossRef]
22. Rizotto, L.S.; Bueno, L.M.; Corrêa, T.C.; Moraes, M.V.d.S.d.; Viana, A.d.O.; Silva, L.M.N.; Benassi, J.C.; Scagion, G.P.; Lopes, B.L.T.; de Assis, I.B.; et al. Genetic diversity of adenovirus in neotropical bats from Brazil. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 2023, 54, 3221–3230. [CrossRef]
23. Borkenhagen, L.K.; Fieldhouse, J.K.; Seto, D.; Gray, G.C. Are adenoviruses zoonotic? A systematic review of the evidence. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 2019, 8, 1679–1687. [CrossRef]
24. Galetti, H.C.; Giacomini, R.S.; Bueno, C.S.S.; Bernardo, R.M.; Marques, R.S.; Bovendorp, C.E.; Steffler, P.; Rubim, S.K.; Gobbo, C.I.; Donatti, R.A.; et al. Priority areas for the conservation of Atlantic Forest large mammals. *Biol. Conserv.* 2009, 142, 1229–1241. [CrossRef]
25. Peixoto, A.L.; Silva, I.M.; Pereira, O.J.; Simonelli, M.; De Jesus, R.M.; Rolim, S.G. Tabuleiro Forests North of the Rio Doce: Their representation in the Vale do Rio Doce Natural Reserve, Espírito Santo, Brazil. *Mem. New York Bot. Gard.* 2008, 100, 369–372.
26. Kierulff, M.C.M.; Avelar, L.H.S.; Ferreira, M.E.S.; Povoá, K.F.; Bérnils, R.S. Reserva Natural Vale: História e aspectos físicos. *Ciênc. Ambiente* 2014, 49, 7–40.
27. Alvares, C.A.; Stape, J.L.; Sentelhas, P.C.; Gonçalves, J.D.M.; Sparovek, G. Köppen's climate classification map for Brazil. *Meteorol. Z.* 2014, 22, 711–728. [CrossRef]
28. Srbek-Araujo, A.C.; Amaro, S.C.; Entringer, H., Jr. Identification of mammals based on hair microstructure: Methodological adaptations and new morphological patterns. *Braz. J. Mammal.* 2024, 18, e922023123. [CrossRef]
29. Entringer, H., Jr.; Del Duque Júnior, H.J.; Chiarello, A.G.; Srbek-Araujo, A.C. Temporal variation of the diet of a top terrestrial predator: The jaguar as a case study. *Mammal. Res.* 2022, 67, 417–431. [CrossRef]
30. Wellehan, J.F.; Johnson, A.J.; Harrach, B.; Benko, M.; Pessier, A.P.; Johnson, C.M.; Garner, M.M.; Childress, A.; Jacobson, E. Detection and analysis of six lizard adenoviruses by consensus primer PCR provides further evidence of a reptilian origin for the atadenoviruses. *J. Virol.* 2004, 78, 13366–13369. [CrossRef]
31. Pantó, L.; Podgorski, I.I.; Jánoska, M.; Márkó, O.; Harrach, B. Taxonomy proposal for Old World monkey adenoviruses: Characterisation of several non-human, non-ape primate adenovirus lineages. *Arch. Virol.* 2015, 160, 3165–3177. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2021, 49, W216–W227. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2016, 33, 1870–1874.



[CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]

Detection of a putative novel adenovirus by PCR amplification, sequencing and phylogenetic characterisation of two gene fragments from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues of a cat diagnosed with disseminated adenovirus disease. *Viol. J.* 2014, 11, 89.

[CrossRef]

Front. Ecol. Evol. 2016, 3, 148.

[CrossRef]

Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2013, 280, 20122753.

49. Wu, Z.; Yang, L.; Ren, X.; He, G.; Zhang, J.; Yang, J.; Jin, Q. Deciphering the bat virome catalog to better understand the ecological diversity of bat viruses and the bat origin of emerging infectious diseases. [CrossRef]

50. Wang, L.F.; Anderson, D.E. Viruses in bats and potential spillover to animals and humans. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 2019, 34, 79–89.

[CrossRef]

51. Field, H.E. Hendra virus ecology and transmission. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 2016, 16, 120–125.

52. Islam, M.S.; Sazzad, H.M.; Satter, S.M.; Sultana, S.; Hossain, M.J.; Hasan, M.; Rahman, M.; Campbell, S.; Cannon, D.L.; Ströher, U.; et al. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2016, 22, 664–670. [CrossRef]

53. Condori, R.E.; Streicker, D.G.; Cabezas-Sanchez, C.; Velasco, V.A. Enzootic and epizootic rabies associated with vampire bats, Peru. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2013, 19, 1463–1469. [CrossRef]

54. Li, L.; Victoria, J.G.; Wang, C.; Jones, M.; Fellers, G.M.; Kunz, T.H.; Delwart, E. Bat Guano Virome: Predominance of Dietary Viruses from Insects and Plants plus Novel Mammalian Viruses. *J. Virol.* 2010, 84, 6955–6965. [CrossRef]

55. Moreno, R.; Giacalone, J. Ecological data obtained from latrine use by ocelots (*Leopardus pardalis*) on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. *Technoscience* 2006, 8, 7–21. Available online: <https://repository.si.edu/handle/10088/21581> (accessed on 10 September 2024).

56. Rodgers, T.W.; Giacalone, J.; Heske, E.J.; Pawlikowski, N.C.; Schooley, R.L. Communal latrines act as potentially important communication centers in ocelots *Leopardus pardalis*. *Mammal. Biol.* 2015, 80, 380–384. [CrossRef]

57. Magalhães, L.M. Uso de Latrinas por Jaguatirica (*Leopardus pardalis*) em um

Remanescente de Mata Atlântica no Sudeste do Brasil. Dissertação de Mestrado, Universidade Vila Velha, Espírito Santo, Brasil. 2019. Disponível Em. Available online:

<https://repositorio.uvv.br/handle/123456789/722> (accessed on 10 September 2024).

58. King, T.W.; Salom-Pérez, R.; Shipley, L.A.; Quigley, H.B.; Thornton, D.H. Ocelot latrines: Communication centers for Neotropical mammals. *J. Mammal.* 2017, 98, 106–113. [CrossRef]

59. Wei, J.; Jin, Y.; Sims, T.; Kniel, K.E. Survival of Human Adenovirus 41 in Land-Applied Manure and Biosolids. *Food Environ. Virol.* 2009, 1, 148–154. [CrossRef]

60. Martin, L.B.; Weil, Z.M.; Nelson, R.J. Seasonal changes in vertebrate immune activity: Mediation by physiological trade-offs.

Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2008, 363, 321–339. [CrossRef]

61. Aguirre, A.A.; Tabor, G.M. Global factors driving emerging infectious diseases: Impact on wildlife populations. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2008, 1149, 1–3. [CrossRef]

62. Horefti, E. The Importance of the One Health Concept in Combating Zoonoses. *Pathogens* 2023, 12, 977. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

1.